

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•			•			
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-	
10/519,490	12/30/2004		Eiichi Kameda	2004-2018A	5405		
513	7590	06/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WENDERO	•	D & PONACK,	BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A				
SUITE 800	LI 14, W	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	٦	
WASHINGTO	N, DC	20006-1021		1775			

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/519,490	KAMEDA, EIICHI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Gwendolyn Blackwell	1775					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address -					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISSIDER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIDER IS A STATE OF THE MAILING DEPTH O	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communica ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
		action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits	s is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under \boldsymbol{E}	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	-	• •				
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amilier. Note the attache	d Office Action of John PTO-152.	•				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
	application from the International Bureau	•	_					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachmen	•	_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Infor	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/04</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
i ape			<u></u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/519,490 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comment

1. Applicant has set forth in claim 1, line 5 that the multilayer film is provided with a "sharpness prevention means for providing an inflection point...". The means for language in claim one, it's modification by functional language, and there being no further modification of the structure, material or acts for achieving the specified function require the use of 35 USC 112, paragraph 6 to be applied to the claim limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

The omitted elements are: the change wavelength band. Which band of the spectrum is the change wavelength band? How is one skilled supposed to know where the inflection point is without knowing the particular wavelength band. Clarification is required.

4. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/519,490

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Objections

5. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2, line 6 recites the limitation "...layers have a thickness from that of each

other...". There seems to be a word missing between "thickness" and "from". To further

prosecution, the missing word will looked as "different" as it seems that the thicknesses of the

layers are further defined in the claim to be different. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 6.

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 7.

anticipated by United States Patent no. 5,644,124, Hamada et al.

Regarding claim 1

Hamada et al disclose a multilayered filter (ray cut filter) formed on a light receiving

substrate (transparent plate) comprised of alternating layers of high and low refractive index

materials, (column 3, lines 4-57). As the layer structure of Hamada et al is the same as that set

forth in pending claim 1, the limitations of the multilayer film providing a sharpness prevention

means is present in the prior art. Absent an evidentiary showing to the contrary, the addition of

Application/Control Number: 10/519,490 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

the physical limitations does not provide a patentable distinction over the prior art of record, meeting the limitations of claim 1.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 12, 14, and 16

Figure 3 demonstrates that the optical thickness of the high and low refractive index layer increases from the substrate and up through the multilayered stack, (column 7, lines 43-65), meeting the limitations of claims 2, 6, 9.

Figure 3 also demonstrates separate sections of the multilayered stack wherein the upper two groupings are layers 2 and 3 and the lower grouping is layer 1, meeting the limitations of claim 4.

Between the substrate and the multilayer stack, a matching layer C (adjustment layer) is formed, (Figure 3), meeting the limitations of claims 7, 12, 14, 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 8, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent no. 5,644,124, Hamada et al as applied to claims 1 and 2 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,490 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

Regarding claim 3

The limitations of claims 1 and 2 have been set forth above. Hamada et al does not specifically disclose that the first and third layers have substantially the same optical film

thickness and the other layer is the second layer.

While Hamada et al does not specifically disclose the layer thickness limitation as set

forth in claim 3, Hamada et al does disclose that high and low refractive index films have optical

film thicknesses that form arithmetic series and that the arithmetic series of one type is different

from an arithmetic series of another type with the layers corresponding to the arithmetic series

having different transmission bands. As it is the thickness of the layer in the arithmetic series

which determines the transmission bands, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time

of invention to modify the thickness of the layers through routine experimentation in order to

determine the spectral sensitivity of the photodetector in the desired wavelength band, (column

4, lines 54-65), claim 3.

Regarding claims 8, 11, and 15

Figure 3 demonstrates that the optical thickness of the high and low refractive index layer

increases from the substrate and up through the multilayered stack, (column 7, lines 43-65),

claim 8.

Between the substrate and the multilayer stack, a matching layer C (adjustment layer) is

formed, (Figure 3), claims 11 and 15.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn Blackwell whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner

Art Unit 1775

gab